

MULTIPLE ECC SCHEMES TO IMPROVE BANDWIDTH

Technical Field

The technical field is computer and networking systems that implement error correcting code schemes.

Background

Modern computer systems use various interconnection mechanisms to allow communications between various components of the computer system. In a multi-computer system, central processing units or the interconnect chipsets may communicate with one another through various defined transactions such as a fetch request, a data return, and a snoop request, for example. Transactions may be sent in each interconnect using a protocol format defined by the specification for that interconnect. Such a transaction may include one or more packets. Different transactions may need different packet lengths. For example, a number of packets required to send a fetch request may be less than a number of packets required to send a cache line data return. A packet is the basic unit of data transmission and includes a number of cycles of data transfer in the interconnect structure.

Most interconnect structures provide a form of error detection and/or correction. An error correcting code (ECC) and associated circuit gives the computer system the ability to tolerate various anticipated errors and to provide a high degree of reliability during data transmission. One approach to implementing an ECC is to provide the ECC at the packet level such that each packet is independently protected by the underlying ECC for anticipated failures.

Error correction codes have been developed that both detect and correct certain errors. One well known class of ECC algorithm is the "Hamming codes," which are widely used for error detection and correction in digital communications data storage systems. The SEC-DED Hamming code is capable of detecting double bit errors and correcting single bit errors. A detailed description of the Hamming codes is found in Shu Lin et al., "Error Control Coding, Fundamentals and Applications," Chapter 3 (1982). Another well known ECC algorithm is the "Reed-Solomon code" widely used for error correction in the compact disk industry. A detailed description of this ECC algorithm is found in Hove et al., "Error Correction and Concealment in the Compact Disk System," Philips Technical Review, Vol. 40, No. 6, pp. 166-172 (1980). The Reed-Solomon code is able to correct

multiple errors per word. Other conventional ECC algorithms include the b-adjacent error correction code described in D. C. Bossen, "B-Adjacent Error Correction," IBM J. Res. Develop., pp. 402-408 (July 1970), and the odd weight column codes described in M. Y. Hsiao, "A Class of Optimal Minimal Odd Weight Column SEC-DED Codes," IBM J. Res. Develop., pp. 395-400 (July 1970). The Hsiao codes, like the Hamming codes, are capable of detecting double bit errors and correcting single bit errors. The Hsiao codes use the same number of check bits as the Hamming codes (e.g., 8 check bits for 64 bits of data), but are superior in that hardware implementation is simplified and speed of error detection is improved.

Use of an ECC imposes an overhead on each transaction. The extra overhead required to implement the ECC reduces bandwidth available for data transmission and other functions.

Summary

A method and an apparatus are used to maximize available transmission bandwidth by using multiple error correcting code (ECC) schemes. A transaction between components in an interconnected computer system may involve the transmission of header information in a header packet. One or more separate data packets may then be used to transmit other information, depending on the particular transaction and the interconnection buswidth. For example, a cache line data return transaction may involve transmission of 64 bytes of cache line data (i.e., 512 data bits). The transmission bus width may be 76 bits wide. Using a multiple ECC scheme, the header packet may be protected using a standard SEC-DED code of eight ECC bits. The data packets may be combined and protected by a single ECC code of eleven bits, thus significantly reducing the ECC overhead, and improving available data bandwidth.

To reduce data latency, parity bits may be distributed with each of the data packets, with the remaining ECC bits included in the last data packet. In an alternative embodiment, the remaining ECC bits may be placed anywhere in the transaction. This arrangement allows early detection of single bit errors in a specific data packet, and thus reduces latency.

Description of the Drawings

The detailed description will refer to the following drawings in which like numerals refer to like objects, and in which:

Figure 1 is a block diagram of a system that uses an error correcting code (ECC);

Figure 2 illustrates an ECC scheme using the system of Figure 1;

Figures 3A and 3B illustrate multiple ECC schemes;

Figures 4A and 4B illustrate alternative multiple ECC schemes; and

Figures 5A - 5C are flowcharts illustrating processes using the ECC schemes of Figures 3A and 3B.

Detailed Description

Error correcting code (ECC) circuits are widely used in storage and interconnections to correct certain types of errors and to detect multiple-bit errors. One common ECC code is the SEC-DED (single error correction - double error detection) code. Other ECC codes are capable of detecting more than two errors and correcting more than single errors.

The ECC circuits perform their error checking functions by generating a number of check bits for a specific number of data bits, and then writing the check bits to storage with the data bits, or sending the check bits with data bits in an interconnection system. The check bits are then used during subsequent read-write cycles or other memory accesses to verify the correct values for the data bits. In a data transmission system, the check bits would be checked at a receiving node. The number of check bits required to implement the ECC depends on the number of data bits being read. As shown in Table 1, as a number of data bits being read increases, the number of required ECC bits also increases.

Table 1

Data Bits	ECC Bits for SEC-DED Code
16 - 31	6
32 - 63	7
64 - 1127	8
128 - 255	9

1 Hardware to implement ECC bits includes an ECC generator (an ECC encoder
2 and decoder). The ECC encoder generates the required check bits and appends the check
3 bits to the packet to be protected. Referring to Table 1 above, eight ECC bits are
4 required to be generated to protect a packet of 64 bits, for example. The ECC decoder
5 is used to generate the ECC bits and to perform the error correcting/detecting code
6 operations including checking the data bits during read and write (or transmit and receive)
7 operations. As is obvious from Table 1 using an ECC means a large percentage of the
8 available transmission bandwidth is devoted to transmission of the ECC bits.

9 An improved ECC scheme achieves error correction/detection in a more efficient
10 manner than existing packetized ECC schemes. In particular, the ECC scheme determines
11 a number of ECC bits based on aggregating all data of a particular transaction together.

12 Figure 1 illustrates a current system for applying an ECC to a transaction in a
13 computer system. In Figure 1, a computer system 10 includes a transmitter 20 that
14 communicates with a receiver 30 through a bus 40. The transmitter 20 includes an
15 encoder 22 that applies an ECC to data packets being sent to the receiver 30. The
16 receiver 30 includes a decoder 32 that decodes the encoded transmission. As shown in
17 the example of Figure 1, the bus 40 is 38 bits wide. A packet includes two cycles worth
18 of transmission over the bus 40. That is, a packet includes 76 bits of data. If the objective
19 of using an ECC scheme is to correct single-bit errors, detect all double-bit errors, and
20 detect any wire stuck-at-failure, a standard (76, 68) SEC-DED Hamming code may be
21 used. Thus, 68 bits of data are sent over the bus along with 8 ECC bits, for an ECC
22 overhead of 10.5 percent.

23 Figure 2 illustrates application of a current ECC scheme to a large data
24 transmission, such as a data_priv transaction 50. A data_priv transaction is a cache line
25 data return to a cache that requested the data. The ECC may be a SEC-DED code, for
26 example. In Figure 2, the transmission bandwidth is 76 bits, and the data transmission
27 requires transmission of 512 bits of data. With a header and the required ECC bits, a total
28 of 9 packets of data are transmitted over 9 cycles. Each packet of data includes the same
29 number of check bits. The transaction 50 includes a header packet 51 and eight data
30 packets 55. Each of the nine packets (51 and 55) include eight ECC, or check, bits 53.
31 The header packet 51 includes a data section 68, which contains command, address,

1 transaction identifier information and other information. The data packets include 64-bit
2 data sections 57, leaving four-bit spare section 56. The spare bits may be used for flow
3 control purposes, for example. Counting all the ECC bits used to protect the data packets
4 results in an ECC overhead for this transaction of 12.5 percent.

5 To reduce the bandwidth penalty inherent in current ECC schemes, a multiple
6 ECC scheme applies ECC bits to transmission packets based on the data arrangements
7 within the packets. Returning to the example of the data_priv transaction, Figure 3A
8 shows an application of the multiple ECC scheme. A data_priv transaction 100 includes
9 512 data bits that may be arranged in data packets 110. Accompanying the data bits is a
10 header 120, which is the same as the header 51 in Figure 2. The header uses the standard
11 SEC-DED code, and thus requires eight ECC bits 122. The data packets 110 are
12 arranged so that the 512 data bits fill the first six data packets 110 with the remaining data
13 bits in the seventh data packet 110. Because the data bits total 512, only eleven ECC bits
14 112 are required to be appended to the data packets 110, and all eleven ECC bits are
15 appended to the seventh data packet. Nine spare bits 114 are also available. The ECC
16 overhead is now reduced to only about 2 percent.

17 One drawback of the multiple ECC scheme shown in Figure 3A is that all data
18 packets 110 must be received before the ECC bits are available for decoding and error
19 correction/detection purposes. That is, the data in the data_priv transaction 100 cannot
20 be used until the entire transaction is received. Despite this drawback, the multiple ECC
21 scheme illustrated in Figure 3A may be useful for interconnects to input/output (I/O)
22 devices, for example, where bandwidth is more important than latency. The multiple ECC
23 scheme illustrated in Figure 3A may also be used for other devices if a way exists to
24 process the data as it is received without committing to the results until the entire
25 transaction is received and the error correction/detection process is completed. For
26 example, data processing may proceed without writing to memory until the error
27 correction/detection process is completed. In the example illustrated in Figure 3A, if a
28 correction is required, the processing pipeline may be flushed and the data processing
29 redone. If an uncorrectable error is detected, then error recovery procedures may be
30 invoked.

1 To further improve on the multiple ECC scheme shown in Figure 3A, extra bits
2 in the data packets may be used as parity bits. Figure 3B illustrates an example of a
3 data_priv transaction 200 that incorporates a multiple ECC scheme and parity bits. A
4 header 210 includes a data section 212 and an ECC section 216. A data section 220
5 includes seven data packets, each with a parity bit. The ECC section includes 11 ECC bits
6 to protect the (512 data + 7 parity) bits using the a standard SEC-DED code. The seven
7 data packets 220 include the 512 data bits for the data_priv transaction 200. Each of the
8 first six data packets 220 includes 75 data bits, and the seventh data packet 220 includes
9 62 data bits. The sixth data packet 220 also includes an ECC section 217 having 11 ECC
10 bits and a spare section 218 having 1 bit.

11 Appended to each of the data packets 220 is a parity bit section 214 having one
12 parity bit. Thus, the parity bits in the first six packets protect 75 bits of data and in the
13 seventh packet, protects 62 bits of data. Upon receipt of a particular data packet 220, the
14 parity bit may be checked. If the parity bit does not indicate an error, then the data packet
15 220 will not contain any single-bit errors, and may only contain multiple (uncorrectable
16 but detectable) errors. If the parity bit indicates an error, than a processing stall may be
17 invoked until the entire transaction is received so that any single-bit errors may be
18 corrected by the ECC before processing the data. Thus, the ECC scheme illustrated in
19 Figure 3B retains the same minimal ECC overhead as the multiple ECC scheme illustrated
20 in Figure 3A, but at least partially eliminates the data latency problem.

21 A further example of a multiple ECC scheme may be illustrated with reference to
22 a cache line data return. Such a cache line data return may include one header packet
23 followed by eight data packets if the same SEC-DED ECC scheme as used for all packets.
24 This data return transaction would then require nine packets. Using a multiple ECC
25 scheme, the header packet would retain the SEC-DED code but a different ECC code
26 could be used for the data packets. For example, a regular (523, 512) SEC-DED Hsiao
27 code could be used for the SEC-DED requirement. For wire failure detection, a modulo
28 4 counter may be used, protected with an (8, 4) SEC-DED code. The result is a (531,
29 512) code that achieves the desired single error correction/double error detection, and the
30 data and accompanying ECC code may be transmitted in seven packets instead of eight
31 packets as would be required using the conventional approach.

1 The example illustrated above involved the use of two ECC codes. However, the
2 multiple ECC scheme is not limited to two ECC codes, such as one ECC code for the data
3 transmission, and another ECC code for the remainder of the transmission. More than
4 two ECC codes may be used for a transaction depending on the transaction and the
5 components involved. In addition, the use of multiple ECCs is not restricted to
6 packetized data. Any communication or data transmission may use the multiple ECC
7 schemes.

8 Figures 4A and 4B illustrate alternative multiple ECC schemes. In Figure 4A, a
9 header packet includes vital information in bits 0 - 21, and is protected by a first ECC.
10 The remaining information in the header packet and four data packets are protected by a
11 second ECC. That is, data bits 22 - 75 in the header packet, and data bits 0 - 75 in the
12 data packets, are protected by the second ECC.

13 Figure 4B illustrate the use of more than two ECCs. In Figure 4B, a first ECC is
14 used to protect bits 0 - 21 in a first line, a second ECC is used to protect bits 22 - 75 in the
15 remainder of the first line and in lines 1 and 2, and a third ECC is used to protect the
16 remaining data in the transaction.

17 Figures 5A - 5C are flowcharts illustrating process using the ECCs of Figures 3A -
18 4B.

19 Figure 5A illustrates a process 300 for determining a multiple ECC scheme. The process
20 300 starts at 310. In block 320, all transactions are defined and the amount of information
21 needed to be transmitted is determined. Next, the interconnect widths and packet sizes
22 are determined. The desired ECC capability is then defined. A default ECC may be used
23 for all transactions at the packet level.

24 In block 330, a list is made of all transaction that are multiple packets long and
25 that will be frequently used such that reducing their size may be beneficial to
26 system performance.

27 In block 340, the smallest transaction from the list is selected. In block 350, a
28 determination is made to see if one or more alternate ECCs with the same error
29 detection/correction capability are available for the packets following the header packet
30 so that the total number of packets may be reduced. These alternative ECCs (other than
31 the default packet level ECC) may be applied to long and frequent transactions.

1 If the alternate ECC is available, the process moves to block 360, and the alternate
2 ECC is used for all transactions on the list of transactions. If the alternate ECC is not
3 available, the process moves to block 370 and all transactions whose size is the same as
4 the smallest transaction are removed from the list of transactions. In block 380, the list
5 is checked to see if it is empty. If the list is empty, the process moves to block 390 and
6 ends. Otherwise, the process returns to block 340.

7 Figure 5B illustrates a process 400 for encoding an ECC. The process begins at
8 block 410. In block 420, the encoder encodes the transaction using a default ECC. In
9 block 430, a determination is made if the transaction has been determined to use an
10 alternate (compact) ECC. If the alternate ECC is not to be used, the process moves to
11 block 440, and the remaining portions of the transaction are encoded using the default
12 ECC. The process then moves to block 460 and ends. If the alternate ECC is to be used,
13 the process moves to block 450, the packets are transmitted, and are encoded using the
14 alternate ECC. The process then moves to block 460 and ends.

15 Figure 5C is a block diagram illustrating a decoding process 500. The process 500
16 begins at block 510. In block 520, the transaction header is decoded using the default
17 ECC. In block 530, a determination is made if any portion of the transaction has been
18 defined to use the alternate ECC. If not portion has been defined to use the alternate
19 ECC, the process moves to block 540 and the remaining transaction is decoded using the
20 default ECC. If the alternate ECC has been defined, the process moves to block 550,
21 where the packets are received and the alternate ECC is used to decode the packets. The
22 data packets may then be forwarded for continuous use. In block 550, the process ends.

23 The terms and descriptions used herein are set forth by way of illustration only and
24 are not meant as limitations. Those skilled in the art will recognize that many variations
25 are possible within the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the following claims,
26 and their equivalents, in which all terms are to be understood in their broadest possible
27 sense unless otherwise indicated.